

## Dear collaborators,

The Annals of the University of Craiova, Psychology and Pedagogy series (ISSN 1582-313X), edited by the Department for Teaching Staff Training, through the Center for Psychopedagogical Research (Romanian acronym CCPP), brings to your attention for no. 2 (40) for 2019, the theme Scientific research: from the documentary and drafting acribia to ethics and deontology.

The efficiency of the educational process is closely related to the pedagogical research. Specialists in different fields are concerned with knowing, interpreting, understanding and explaining the various aspects of the educational action, identifying cause-to-effect type of relationships, formulating conclusions, theories, outlining of some innovative practices.

The scientific research competences are proof of professional maturity, the high level of the theoretical and practical-methodological abilities that the teacher has developed during his / her teaching career. The responsibility of the work done and the respect for the sources consulted are two defining principles of the scientific research, and the exploitation of the results obtained following an investigative approach must reflect both a critical attitude towards information sources and a reflective, interrogatory one, in relation to the data acquired in the following documentation.

The documentation is an essential step in the designing and writing process of the scientific papers, it is necessary to define the basic concepts for understanding the main aspects of the theme chosen. The inventory progress reached by a problem at a theoretical level, but also in practice, by consulting various information sources, allows to avoid roads already traveled, on the one hand, and, on the other hand, offers the possibility of discovering new perspectives of a creative approach of the educational phenomenon, shaping some of the theoretical and praxiological directions.

The selected information, synthesized, organized following the documentation will then be interpreted and structured in a personal and constructive way.

How can we ensure professionalism, objectivity into the research approach? What are the requirements to be observed in the bibliographic documentation? What are the main sources of documentation and the selection criteria? What methods and tools for information and documentation does the researcher have available? How can we capitalize on the results of documentation by avoiding (self) plagiarism? What are the requirements, the rules for using and quoting the sources? What are the rules for editing a work? What criteria do we refer to in (self) evaluation research science? What are the professional standards which ensure a correct conduct of a researcher?

The answers to these questions can be provided by elaborating theoretical and practical-applicative papers to be found in the **topics** proposed for this issue:

- 1. Documentation an important step in the investigative approach
- 2. Selection and capitalization of information and documentation sources
- 3. Rules / exigencies of writing a scientific paper
- 4. Distortions and errors in research
- 5. Ethical a and Standards in country research
- 6. Criteria for the (self) evaluation of scientific research
- 7. Reflexivity, objectivity, honesty essential characteristics of a researcher
- 8. The voices of the scientific text: primary author, secondary, translator. Modalities of differentiation and enhancement.

**Key words:** Pedagogical research, Scientific documentation, Critical attitude, Methods of structuring argumentation, Scientific writing, Ethics and deontology.

The evaluation of the studies shall take into account the following aspects: the research perspective; the novelty of the issues addressed and the way of its theoretical substantiation; research design; research methodology; results are obtained; the relevance of discussions and interpretations; consistency of conclusions; the relevance and timeliness of the bibliography.

Your proposals will be organised in several sections of the journal: Theoretical Approaches - Re-evaluations and Openings; Educational practice - new perspectives; Research Laboratory; Current - Computer-assisted training; From Comparative History and Pedagogy of Teacher Training; Books and Ideas and a Varia Section.

**Editors in chief:** Associate Professor Florentina MOGONEA, PhD, University of Craiova, Associate Professor Alexandrina Mihaela POPESCU, PhD, University of Craiova.

# **Coordinators of the thematic number:**

Monique LEBRUN-BROSSARD, Professor PhD, The Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada Agnès LEROUX-BÉAL, Associate Professor PhD, Paris Nanterre University, France Florentina MOGONEA, Associate Professor PhD, University of Craiova, Romania, Alexandrina Mihaela POPESCU, Associate Professor PhD, University of Craiova, Romania

**Editors:** Associate Professor Florentin-Remus MOGONEA PhD, Associate Professor Ecaterina Sarah FRĂSINEANU PhD, Associate Professor Vali ILIE PhD, Associate Professor Mihaela Aurelia ŞTEFAN PhD, Associate Professor Aurel PERA PhD, Senior Lecturer Emil LAZĂR PhD, Senior Lecturer Răzvan-Alexandru CĂLIN PhD, Junior Lecturer Oprea Valentin BUŞU PhD.

### **Editorial board:**

- ✓ Cecilia CONDEI, Professeur des universités, HDR, Université de Craiova, Roumanie
- ✓ Sigolène COUCHOT-SCHIEX, Maître de conférences, HDR, LIRTES EA 7313, Université Paris-Est, Créteil, France
- ✓ Euphrosyne EFTHIMIADOU, Professeure Associée à l'École de l'Air hellénique, Base Aérienne de Dekeleia, Grèce
- ✓ Caroline GOSSIEAUX, Responsable de formation, Université de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, France
- ✓ Miron IONESCU, Professor PhD, Babes-Bolyai University of Cluj-Napoca, Romania
- ✓ Aoife LEAHY, PhD, Independent Researcher, Editor ESSE Messenger, Dublin, Ireland
- ✓ Monique LEBRUN-BROSSARD, Professeure émérite, HDR, Université du Québec à Montréal, Canada
- ✓ Agnès LEROUX-BÉAL, Maître de conférences, HDR, UFR LCE, Université de Paris Nanterre
- ✓ Marin MANOLESCU, Professor PhD, University of Bucharest, Romania
- ✓ Deborah MEUNIER, Chargée de cours, Université de Liège, Belgique
- ✓ Ioan NEACŞU, Professor PhD, University of Bucharest, Romania
- ✓ Armela PANAJOTI, Associate Professor, PhD, "Ismail Qemali" University of Vlora, Albania
- ✓ Sofia Loredana TUDOR, Associate Professor PhD, University of Pitesti, Romania
- ✓ Titela VÎLCEANU, Associate Professor PhD, University of Craiova, Romania
- ✓ Ana VUJOVIĆ, Professeure des universités, Faculté de Formation des maîtres, Université de Belgrade, Serbie

### **Technical Details:**

Paper length: **10 - 15 pages**, in MS Word; reviews, conference reports, reading notes: 2-4 pages. **The texts shall be fully written in English or French**. The texts in French will be **accompanied by the abstract**, **the key words and the institutional affiliation of the author (s) in English.** 

The papers will be evaluated by 2 independent reviewers, in the blind Peer-Review system. An author can contribute **up to two papers**, provided they fit into different sections of the volume. We only accept studies where **the maximum number of authors is 2**. In this case, the contact author shall be indicated.

**Text formatting** - top: 2 cm, bottom: 2 cm, left: 2 cm, right: 2 cm, heading: 2 cm, footing: 0 cm, page size: B5 (17 cm x 24 cm), Times New Roman, 11 pt, spacing - single 1 (please see the Annex). Citations of bibliography and webography shall be done in accordance the APA Publication Manual (American Psychological Association), the 6<sup>th</sup> edition.

An Abstract of maximum 250 words and 3-5 key words (**in English**) shall be provided alongside the paper.

We are looking forward to your manuscripts at the email address <u>auc.pp.dppd@gmail.com</u> until 01.11.2019, publication of the volume to be completed by 15.12.2019.

For more information, please see the journal's web page: <a href="http://aucpp.ro/">http://aucpp.ro/</a>

# Editors in chief,

Associate Professor Florentina MOGONEA, PhD Associate Professor Alexandrina Mihaela POPESCU, PhD

# **TITLE**

# First author's name and SURNAME<sup>1</sup>, second author's name and SURNAME<sup>2</sup>

Abstract

Maximum length: 250 words **Keywords:** 3-5 key words

1.

1.1.

2.



Figure no. 1. Title

Tables: horizontal, centred, box, width: 1 pt.

Example:

Tabel n. Table title

Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Column 4	Column 5	
10	10	10	10	10	_

## **REFERENCES**

1. Surname1, First letter of the first name 1. (coord.). (year). *Title of the paper1*. City: Publishing House.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>mathrm{1}}$  Position, academic title, institutional affiliation, email address, corresponding author

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Position, academic title, institutional affiliation, email address